


CHARPP Framework

Percentage scores on 17 program and population indicators by province or territory



All current harm reduction policy documents in a given jurisdiction were coded on 8 program quality and 9 population quality indicators (1 = indicator met; 0 = indicator not met). We added together all program indicator scores of “1” for each case and divided by the potential total (17 × no. of documents in the case), resulting in a percentage score on program quality that could easily be compared between cases. The higher the case’s overall percentage score (out of a maximum of 100), the greater the number of policy documents in the case that exemplify high-quality harm reduction policy. Note that the Yukon Territory had no current harm reduction policy documents and is thus excluded from this figure.

Source: Hyshka E, Anderson-Baron J, Karekezi K, Belle-Isle L, Elliott R, Pauly B, et al. Harm reduction in name, but not substance: a comparative analysis of current Canadian provincial and territorial policy frameworks. *Harm Reduction Journal*. 2017 Jul 26;14(1):50. [View here.](#)